Challenges in Treatment of Secondary Hyperparathyroidism

Maryam Shafiei Sabet Adult Nephrologist

Defination

- Chronic kidney disease mineral and bone disorder (CKD-MBD) is characterized by:
 - Biochemical abnormalities (calcium, phosphate, parathyroid hormone [PTH], and vitamin D)
 - Abnormalities in bone turnover, mineralization, volume linear growth, or strength
 - Extraskeletal calcification.

Secondary Hyperparathyroidism

- Secondary hyperparathyroidism occurs in response to the following series of abnormalities that initiate and maintain increased parathyroid hormone (PTH) secretion
 - Phosphate retention
 - Decreased free ionized calcium concentration
 - Decreased 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D (calcitriol) concentration
 - Increased fibroblast growth factor 23 (FGF23) concentration
 - The reduced expression of vitamin D receptors (VDRs), calcium-sensing receptors (CaSRs), fibroblast growth factor receptors, and klotho in the parathyroid glands

Pathogenesis of Secondary Hyperparathyroidism



Strategies of Treatment of CKD-MBD

- Treat hyperphosphatemia
- Maintain normocalcemia
- Treat vitamin D deficiency
- Calcitriol and synthetic vitamin D analogs
- Calcimimetics
- parathyroidectomy

Mineral Metabolism, Mortality, and Morbidity in Maintenance Hemodialysis

- For determining associations among disorders of mineral metabolism, mortality, and morbidity in hemodialysis patients, data on 40,538 hemodialysis patients with at least one determination of serum phosphorus and calcium during the last 3 mo of 1997 were analyzed.
- Unadjusted, case mix—adjusted, and multivariableadjusted relative risks of death were calculated for categories of serum phosphorus, calcium, calcium × phosphorus product, and intact parathyroid hormone (PTH) using proportional hazards regression.

Mineral Metabolism, Mortality, and Morbidity in Maintenance Hemodialysis

- After adjustment for case mix and laboratory variables, serum phosphorus concentrations >5.0 mg/dl were associated with an increased relative risk of death (1.07, 1.25, 1.43, 1.67, and 2.02 for serum phosphorus 5.0 to 6.0, 6.0 to 7.0, 7.0 to 8.0, 8.0 to 9.0, and ≥9.0 mg/dl).
- Higher adjusted serum calcium concentrations were also associated with an increased risk of death, even when examined within narrow ranges of serum phosphorus.
- Moderate to severe hyperparathyroidism (PTH concentrations ≥600 pg/ml) was associated with an increase in the relative risk of death.
- Whereas more modest increases in PTH were not.

Figure 1. Unadjusted, case mix–adjusted, and multivariable-adjusted relative risks (RR; of death) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) for eight categories of serum phosphorus (referent range 4.0 to 5.0 mg/dl)





Figure 2. Unadjusted, case mix–adjusted, and multivariable-adjusted RR (of death) and 95% CI for eight categories of measured serum calcium (referent range, 9.0 to 9.5 mg/dl).





Figure 3. Unadjusted, case mix–adjusted, and multivariable-adjusted RR (of death) and 95% CI for eight categories of adjusted serum calcium (measured calcium adjusted for serum





Figure 4. Unadjusted, case mix–adjusted, and multivariable-adjusted RR (of death) and 95% CI for 12 categories of calcium × phosphorus product (referent range, 40 to 45 mg2/dl2).





Figure 5. Unadjusted, case mix–adjusted, and multivariable-adjusted RR (of death) and 95% CI for four categories of intact parathyroid hormone (referent range, 150 to 300 pg/ml).





Serum iPTH, calcium and phosphate, and the risk of mortality in a European haemodialysis population

- The association between the markers of mineral and bone disease and clinical outcomes was examined in 7970 patients.
- Hazard ratio (HR) estimates from baseline analysis for iPTH were U-shaped [>600 pg/mL, HR = 2.10, 95% confidence interval (CI) 1.62–2.73; <75 pg/mL, HR = 1.46, 95% CI 1.17–1.83]. Confirmed by TD analysis.
- Baseline analysis showed that calcium >2.75 mmol/L increased risk of death (HR = 1.70, 95% CI 1.19–2.42).
- TD analysis showed that both low (HR = 1.19, 95% CI 1.04–1.37) and high calcium (HR = 1.74, 95% CI 1.30–2.34) increased risk of death.
- Baseline analysis for phosphate showed a U-shaped pattern (<1.13 mmol/L, HR = 1.18, 95% CI 1.01–1.37; >1.78 mmol/L, HR = 1.32, 95% CI 1.13–1.55). Results confirmed by TD analysis.

Fig. 1 (a) Relative risk of all-cause mortality for iPTH comparing baseline versus time-dependent Cox regression ...



Nephrology Dialysis Transplantation, Volume 26, Issue 6, June 2011, Pages 1948–1955, https://doi.org/10.1093/ndt/gfq219

OXFORD UNIVERSITY PRESS

The content of this slide may be subject to copyright: please see the slide notes for details.

Fig. 2 Relative risk of all-cause mortality for iPTH baseline Cox regression using fractional polynomials in ...



Nephrology Dialysis Transplantation, Volume 26, Issue 6, June 2011, Pages 1948–1955, <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/ndt/gfq219</u>



The content of this slide may be subject to copyright: please see the slide notes for details.

K/DOQI-recommended intact PTH levels do not prevent lowturnover bone disease in hemodialysis patients

- serum markers of bone turnover have been used to evaluate bone turn over in CKD patients. Measurement of intact parathyroid hormone (iPTH) has long been considered the principal biochemical marker for diagnosis and monitoring therapy of ROD.
- A target range of plasma iPTH for stage 5 CKD patients has been suggested to be between 150 and 300 pg ml.
- A total of 101 patients were enrolled in the study. Ninety-seven patients provided adequate bone samples for histomorphometric analysis.
- LT was the most common finding (64%) in the ideal range of iPTH.
- an association between LT and being of white race and a trend toward an association with older age (P=0.07) and diabetes (P=0.07) was noticed.





K/DOQI-recommended intact PTH levels do not prevent lowturnover bone disease in hemodialysis patients

- Despite not using aluminum-based phosphate binder and strictly controlling water quality in the dialysis centers involved in this study, aluminum intoxication (Al.S/BS>25%) was present in 41% of the entire population.
- However, one should notice that the amount of aluminum bone surface was not that high (Al.S/BS=24.3±28.6%).
- Other aluminum exposure source that may be important in patients with CKD, such as aluminum kitchen utensils.
- NKF K/DOQI guidelines. LT was quite frequent in the recommended iPTH range. Thus, the so-called ideal range of iPTH does not seem to guarantee a healthy bone.
- Additionally, one might conclude that the upper cut-off of iPTH (300 pg ml⁻¹) should be revised.

Effects of Calcium on Cardiovascular Events in Patients with Kidney Disease and in a Healthy Population

- A key risk factor for CVD in patients with ESRD is vascular calcification.(typically medial calcification)
- Vascular calcification is not a passive deposition of Ca and phosphate but rather a well organized active process.
- The first critical step involves transformation of vascular smooth muscle cell to osteoblast like cells.
- Elevated serum level of Ca and P facillitate this transformation.

Effects of Calcium on Cardiovascular Events in Patients with Kidney Disease and in a Healthy Population

- Measurement of serum Ca is not a good surrogate for overall calcium balance.
- In healthy subject, bone mass measurement and fracture rate is the most commonly used indirect measure of Ca adequacy.
- Bone mass and fracture rate in CKD patients associated with different factors.
- Because of alteration in mineral metabolism , the recommendation of Ca intake in healthy subjects can not be directly applied to patients with CKD.

Effects of Calcium on Cardiovascular Events in Patients with Kidney Disease and in a Healthy Population

- It seems 3 factor must be considered before perscribing Ca or Ca based phosphate binder:
 - Calcium based phosphate binders are a substantial source of elemental Calcium
 - Elevated PTH in CKD caused by hyperphosphatemia rather than hypocalcemia
 - There are no data to support a benefitial effect of calcium supplementation in patients with CKD.
- There is no data showing that giving calcium reduce risk of osteoporotic fractures, among patients with CKD.

Effects of Phosphate Binders in Moderate CKD

- randomly assigned 148 patients with estimated GFR=20– 45 ml/min per 1.73 m² to calcium acetate, lanthanum carbonate, sevelamer carbonate, or placebo.
- Serum phosphorus decreased from a baseline mean of 4.2 mg/dl in both active and placebo arms to 3.9 mg/dl with active therapy and 4.1 mg/dl with placebo (*P*=0.03).
- Median serum intact parathyroid hormone remained stable with active therapy and increased with placebo (*P*=0.002).
- Active therapy did, however, significantly increase calcification of the coronary arteries and abdominal aorta (coronary: median increases of 18.1% versus 0.6%, P=0.05; abdominal aorta: median increases of 15.4% versus 3.4%, P=0.03).
- It is possible that noncalcium-containing phosphate binders also enhance the availability of free intestinal calcium and result in a positive calcium balance.



А

Effect of Cinacalcet on Cardiovascular Disease in Patients Undergoing Dialysis

- The primary composite end point was the time until death, myocardial infarction, hospitalization for unstable angina, heart failure or peripheral vascular event.
- Secondary end points included the time to the individual components of the primary composite end point, death from cardiovascular causes, stroke, bone fracture, and parathyroidectomy.
- The primary composite end point was reached in 938 of1948 patients(48.2%) in the cinacalcet group and 952 of 1935 patients(49.2%) in placebo group(relative hazard in cinacalcet group versus placebo, 0.93)
- Hypocalcemia and gastrointestinal adverse events more frequent in patients receiving cinacalcet.
- Cinacalcet did not significantly reduce the risk of death or major cardiovascular events in patients with moderate to severe secondary hyper parathyroidism who were undergoing hemodialysis.



Effects of Cinacalcet on Fracture Events in Patients Receiving Hemodialysis: The EVOLVE Trial

- placebo-controlled trial that randomized 3883 hemodialysis patients with secondary hyperparathyroidism to receive cinacalcet or placebo for ≤64 months.
- This study was a prespecified secondary analysis of the trial whose primary end point was all-cause mortality and non-fatal cardiovascular events, and one of the secondary end points was first clinical fracture event.
- In conclusion, using an unadjusted intention-to-treat analysis, cinacalcet did not reduce the rate of clinical fracture. However, when accounting for differences in baseline characteristics, multiple fractures, and/or events prompting discontinuation of study drug, cinacalcet reduced the rate of clinical fracture by 16%–29%.





Subgroup	N 3883	Cincalcal better Placabo better	HR (95% CI)	Interaction p-value
Ace	3003		0.72 (0.30, 0.80)	0.262
Less than 65 years 65 years or more	2878 1005		0.79 (0.61, 1.03) 0.60 (0.41, 0.88)	0.200
Sex				0.421
Female Male	1578 2305		0.66 (0.49, 0.90) 0.79 (0.58, 1.07)	
Race group				0.023
White Black Other	2240 837 806		0.58 (0.44, 0.77) 0.89 (0.52, 1.52) 1.23 (0.75, 2.01)	
Region				0.183
UnitedStates Europe LatinAmerica Russia Canada Australia	1430 1188 687 283 146 149		0.92 (0.65, 1.31) 0.70 (0.47, 1.03) 0.69 (0.42, 1.14) 0.29 (0.10, 0.82) 0.29 (0.09, 0.92) 1.00 (0.28, 3.58)	
Diabetes				0.612
Yes	1302		0.78 (0.55, 1.10)	
No Di vitania D	2581		0.69 (0.52, 0.91)	0.744
BL Vitamin D	2240		0.70 (0.63 0.63)	0.744
No	1573		0.76 (0.54, 1.06)	
PTH aroup	1010		and family mont	0.272
300 to 600 pg/mL 600 to 900 pg/mL 900 to 1200 pg/mL More than 1200 pg/mL	1573 929 550 831		0.72 (0.51, 1.00) 0.88 (0.56, 1.37) 0.96 (0.52, 1.77) 0.50 (0.31, 0.80)	U.L.I.L.
Dialysis vintage				0.209
Less than 2 years 2 to 5 years 5 years or more	1098 1285 1499		0.88 (0.57, 1.35) 0.83 (0.57, 1.23) 0.58 (0.41, 0.80)	
Fracture history				0.266
Yes	769		0.88 (0.59, 1.31)	
No	3114		0.67 (0.52, 0.86)	
Stroke history	255		0.00 (0.04 4.00)	0.513
No	300		0.60 (0.34, 1.08)	
Tobacco use	3020		0.74 (0.00, 0.04)	0.267
Never	2184		0.80 (0.60, 1.08)	0.201
Former Current	1064 632		0.76 (0.50, 1.18) 0.51 (0.31, 0.82)	
32000-0250-0	6	0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 1.2 1.4 1.8 1.8 2.0 2.2 2.4 2.6 2.8 3.0 3.2 3.4 3.6	87798550154758580	



Cinacalcet in patients with chronic kidney disease: a cumulative meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials.

- Two independent reviewers identified trials, extracted data, and assessed risk of bias. Eighteen trials comprising 7,446 participants compared cinacalcet plus conventional therapy with placebo or no treatment plus conventional therapy in adults with CKD.
- Cinacalcet had little or no effect on all-cause mortality (relative risk, 0.97 [95% confidence interval, 0.89-1.05]), had imprecise effect on cardiovascular mortality (0.67 [0.16-2.87])
- It prevented parathyroidectomy (0.49 [0.40-0.59]) and hypercalcemia (0.23 [0.05-0.97]), but increased hypocalcemia (6.98 [5.10-9.53]), nausea (2.02 [1.45-2.81]), and vomiting (1.97 [1.73-2.24]).

	Cu	Cumulative Events, n/N		Cumulative Relative Risk	Cumulative	Cumulative Relative Risk		
	Calcin	nimetic	Co	ntrol	(95% CI)	P value	(95% CI)	
All-cause mortality								
Block et al., 2004 (33)	6	371	7	370	0.86 (0.29 to 2.52)	0.78		
Lindberg et al., 2005 (36)	9	665	9	471	0.75 (0.30 to 1.88)	0.53		
Charytan et al, 2005 (35)	9	692	11	498	0.67 (0.28 to 1.61)	0.36		
OPTIMA study., 2008 (41)	20	1060	17	682	0.77 (0.40 to 1.48)	0.43		
Malluche et al., 2008 (40)	23	1092	19	698	0.77 (0.42 to 1.41)	0.39		
ACHIEVE study., 2008 (37)	26	1179	22	784	0.79 (0.45 to 1.40)	0.42		
Chonchol et al., 2009 (42)	28	1481	24	886	0.74 (0.43 to 1.28)	0.28		
ADVANCE study., 2011 (43)	40	1661	36	1066	0.82 (0.52 to 1.28)	0.38		
El Shafey et al., 2011 (44)	41	1716	37	1093	0.81 (0.52 to 1.25)	0.34		
IMPACT study., 2012 (45)	41	1850	41	1227	0.77 (0.50 to 1.19)	0.25		
EVOLVE study., 2012 (23)	744	3798	759	3162	0.97 (0.89 to 1.05)	0.39	•	
Cumulative total	744	3798	759	3162	0.97 (0.89 to 1.05)	0.39	٥	
Parathyroidectomy								
Lindberg et al., 2005 (36)	1	295	3	102	0.07 (0.01 to 1.42)	0.08	▲	
ACHIEVE study., 2008 (37)	1	382	4	188	0.15 (0.02 to 1.30)	0.08	<∎	
El Shafey et al., 2011 (44)	2	437	8	215	0.13 (0.03 to 0.62)	0.01	<	
ADVANCE study., 2011 (43)	2	617	10	395	0.15 (0.04 to 0.57)	0.001		
EVOLVE study., 2012 (23)	142	2565	288	2330	0.49 (0.40 to 0.59)	<0.001	•	
Cumulative total	142	2565	288	2330	0.49 (0.40 to 0.59)	<0.001	♦	
the sector of th								
Hypocalcemia							_	
Goodman et al., 2000 (19)	/	16	0	4	4.41 (0.30 to 64.6)	0.28		
Lindberg et al., 2003 (31)	10	54	0	43	5.51 (0.76 to 39.9)	0.10		
Block et al., 2004 (33)	28	419	4	412	4.75 (1.85 to 12.2)	0.001		
Charytan et al, 2005 (35)	32	446	4	439	5.06 (2.06 to 12.40)	<0.001		
Fugakawa et al., 2008 (39)	36	518	4	510	5.31 (2.25 to 12.51)	<0.001	_	
OPTIMA study., 2008 (41)	54	883	6	692	5.08 (2.43 to 10.63)	<0.001		
Akiba et al., 2008 (38)	63	973	6	722	5.16 (2.53 to 10.54)	<0.001		
ACHIEVE study., 2008 (37)	69	1060	6	808	5.45 (2.73 to 10.88)	<0.001		
Chonchol et al., 2009 (42)	252	1355	7	908	7.15 (3.72 to 13.73)	<0.001		
ADVANCE study., 2011 (43)	264	1535	7	1088	7.62 (4.03 to 14.38)	<0.001		
El Shafey et al., 2011 (44)	270	1590	7	1115	7.56 (4.07 to 14.06)	<0.001	-#>	
IMPACT study., 2012 (45)	297	1724	7	1249	8.30 (4.53 to 15.21)	<0.001	=>	
EVOLVE study., 2012 (23)	537	3662	40	3172	7.48 (5.50 to 10.19)	<0.001	-#>	
Cumulative total	537	3662	40	3172	7.48 (5.50 to 10.19)	<0.001	◆	
Nausea								
Goodman et al., 2000 (19)	8	16	0	4	5.00 (0.35 to 72.36)	0.24	_ ►→	
Goodman et al., 2002 (20)	9	39	0	11	2.52 (0.33 to 19.05)	0.37		
Lindberg et al., 2003 (31)	17	77	12	50	0.81 (0.39 to 1.67)	0.56		
Block et al., 2004 (33)	134	442	82	419	1.30 (0.66 to 2.53)	0.76		
Lindberg et al., 2005 (36)	222	736	104	520	1.40 (1.00 to 1.95)	0.05		
Charytan et al., 2005 (35)	231	763	106	547	1.47 (1.02 to 2.12)	0.04		
Fugakawa et al., 2008 (39)	257	835	120	618	1.54 (1.16 to 2.04)	0.003		
OPTIMA study., 2008 (41)	374	1200	125	800	2.06 (1.24 to 3.42)	0.005		
Akiba et al., 2008 (38)	381	1290	125	800	2.11 (1.29 to 3.45)	0.003		
ACHIEVE study., 2008 (37)	390	1377	125	916	2.25 (1.34 to 3.71)	0.001		
Chonchol et al., 2009 (42)	467	1672	138	1016	2.16 (1.41 to 3.31)	<0.001		
El Shafey et al., 2011 (44)	474	1727	139	1043	2.19 (1.45 to 3.30)	<0.001		
IMPACT study., 2012 (45)	483	1861	139	1177	2.30 (1.51 to 3.49)	<0.001		
EVOLVE study., 2012 (23)	1046	3799	438	3100	2.05 (1.54 to 2.75)	<0.001	-=-	
Cumulative total	1046	3799	438	3100	2.05 (1.54 to 2.75)	<0.001	\diamond	
							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
							0.1 0.2 0.5 1 2 5 10	

Cincalcet better Control better

Effect of Etelcalcetide vs Cinacalcet on Serum Parathyroid Hormone in Patients Receiving Hemodialysis With Secondary Hyperparathyroidism: A Randomized Clinical Trial

- Etelcalcetide is an octapeptide type 2 calcimimetic that interacts with the calcium sensing receptor at a site distinct from cinacalcet.
- Although the acute pharmacodynamic effects of etelcalcetide are similar to those of cinacalcet, the pharmacokinetic profile is distinct.
- Etelcalcetide is renally cleared, with a half-life allowing thrice weekly administration (concurrent with hemodialysis), yielded sustained reductions in PTH over the 48- to 72-hour dosing interval
- 680 stable patients on hemodialysis randommized 1:1 to IV etelcalcitide 5 mg 3 times weekly(each session of dialysis) and oral pelacebo (388 cases) or oral cinacalcet30 mg daily and IV pelacebo (341 cases).

Effect of Etelcalcetide vs Cinacalcet on Serum Parathyroid Hormone in Patients Receiving Hemodialysis With Secondary Hyperparathyroidism: A Randomized Clinical Trial

- Dose titration increment 2.5 to 5 mg for etelcalcidate (2.5 -15 mg) and 30 mg for cincalcet (30-180 mg)
- The primary efficacy (noninferiority) end point was the proportion of patients with more than 30% reduction from baseline in mean PTH concentrations during the efficacy assessment phase (weeks 20-27)
- Secondary endpoint included the proportion of patients with more than a 50% and more than a 30% reduction in PTH concentrations (superiority).

Parathyroid Hormone, Calcium, and Phosphate Concentrations in Patients Receiving Cinacalcet or Etelcalcetide by Study Week



No. of patients

 Etelcalcetide
 338
 293
 300
 304
 303
 291
 288
 288
 277
 270
 256
 265
 255
 276

 Cinacalcet
 341
 286
 300
 302
 308
 299
 302
 298
 291
 291
 293
 288
 283
 274
 289

 338
 290
 299
 308
 300
 290
 291
 271
 274
 279
 266
 257
 267
 251
 273

 341
 291
 304
 302
 296
 298
 301
 291
 292
 289
 284
 283
 272
 284



Effect of Etelcalcetide vs Cinacalcet on Serum Parathyroid Hormone in Patients Receiving Hemodialysis With Secondary Hyperparathyroidism: A Randomized Clinical Trial

- the estimated difference between patients randomized to cinacalcet was 57.7% (198 of 343) and to etelcalcetide was 68.2% (232 of 340) in proportions achieving the end point was -10.5% (-17.5% to -3.5%; *P* for noninferiority, <.001; *P* for superiority, .004).
- One hundred seventy-eight patients (52.4%) randomized to etelcalcetide achieved a reduction in PTH concentrations of more than 50%, whereas 138 patients (40.2%) randomized to cinacalcet achieved a reduction in PTH concentrations of more than 50% (*P* = .001, difference in proportions, 12.2%; 95% CI, 4.7% to 19.5%)
- for a reduction of more than 30%, the difference in proportions was 10.5% (95% CI, 3.3% to 17.7%). The relative proportion of patients achieving a reduction in PTH concentrations of more than 30% did not differ significantly across any of the patient subgroups examined

	No./Total of Pa	atients	Between-Group Difference in Proportion With >30%	Favors Cinacalcet	Favors Etelcalcetide
Subgroups	Etelcalcetide	Cinacalcet	in PTH Level, % (95% CI)		
Screening, PTH level, pg/mL					
<900	121/167	97/154	9.5 (-0.7 to 19.7)		
≥900	110/171	97/182	11.0 (0.8 to 21.2)		
Region					
North America	67/103	54/105	13.6 (0.3 to 26.9)		
Non-North America	165/237	144/238	9.1 (0.6 to 17.7)		
Time since initiation of dialysis, y	,				
0-≤1	33/46	36/48	-3.3 (-21.1 to 14.6)		
>1-≤5	95/149	84/146	6.2 (-4.9 to 17.4)		
>5	104/145	78/149	19.4 (8.5 to 30.2)		
Baseline					
Dialysate calcium, mEq/L					
<3.0	128/191	104/189	12.0 (2.3 to 21.7)		
≥3.0	104/149	94/154	8.8 (-1.9 to 19.4)	-	
Vitamin D sterol use					
Yes	143/200	122/206	12.3 (3.1 to 21.5)		
No	89/140	76/137	8.1 (-3.4 to 19.6)	_	
Calcium-containing phosphate	binder or calcium su	pplement use			
Yes	119/172	101/168	9.1 (-1.1 to 19.2)		
No	113/168	97/175	11.8 (1.6 to 22.1)		
Previous cinacalcet use					
Yes	53/80	49/92	13.0 (-1.5 to 27.5)	-	-
No	179/260	149/251	9.5 (1.2 to 17.8)		
Race					
Black	38/54	28/52	16.5 (-1.7 to 34.7)	-	-
White or other	194/286	170/291	9.4 (1.6 to 17.2)		
Sex					
Men	125/192	106/192	9.9 (0.2 to 19.6)		
Women	107/148	92/151	11.4 (0.8 to 22.0)		
Age, y					
<65	176/262	130/243	13.7 (5.2 to 22.1)		
≥65	56/78	68/100	3.8 (-9.7 to 17.3)		-
Overall	232/340	198/343	10.5 (3.3 to 17.7)		

-30 -20 -10 0 10 20 30 40 Between-Group Difference in the Proportion With >30% Decrease From Baseline in PTH Level, % (95% CI)

	Patients, No. (%)		
Preferred Term	Etelcalcetide (n = 338)	Cinacalcet (n = 341)	
Blood calcium decreased ^b	233 (68.9)	204 (59.8)	
Nausea	62 (18.3)	77 (22.6)	
Vomiting	45 (13.3)	47 (13.8)	
Hypotension	23 (6.8)	10 (2.9)	
Headache	22 (6.5)	24 (7.0)	
Muscle spasms	22 (6.5)	20 (5.9)	
Diarrhea	21 (6.2)	35 (10.3)	
Hypertension	21 (6.2)	23 (6.7)	
Anemia	17 (5.0)	15 (4.4)	
Hypocalcemia	17 (5.0)	8 (2.3)	
Pain in extremity	17 (5.0)	14 (4.1)	
Bronchitis	5 (1.5)	17 (5.0)	

Table 2. Treatment Emergent Adverse Events^a

^a Adverse events occurring among 5% or more patients in either group. The term *treatment emergent* refers to a condition either not present before exposure to a study drug that develops after drug exposure or a condition present before exposure that worsens in frequency or severity. Adverse events occurring after the first dose of study drug and up to 30 days after the last dose of study drug were included. Counts and proportions refer to patients rather than to adverse events. In other words, patients may have one or more adverse event.

^b Defined as an albumin-corrected serum calcium concentrations lower than 8.3 mg/dL (to convert to mmol/L, multiply by 0.25) that resulted in a medical intervention. A Randomized Trial of Cinacalcet versus Vitamin D Analogs as Monotherapy in Secondary Hyperparathyroidism (PARADIGM)

- This was a prospective, multicenter, phase 4, randomized, open-label study that enrolled participants from 2010 to 2012. Adult participants (n=312) on hemodialysis with PTH >450 pg/ml were randomized 1:1 to 12 months of treatment with either cinacalcet (n=155) or vitamin D analogs (n=157)
- The mean percentage change in plasma PTH level (primary end point) and the proportion of participants achieving plasma PTH <300 pg/ml or a ≥30% decrease in PTH (secondary end points).







A Randomized Trial of Cinacalcet versus Vitamin D Analogs as Monotherapy in Secondary Hyperparathyroidism (PARADIGM)

 In this study evaluating the relative efficacy of cinacalcet and vitamin D analogs as monotherapy for the treatment of SHPT in participants receiving chronic hemodialysis, there was no difference between treatment arms in the mean decrease in PTH (the primary end point), the percentage of participants achieving \geq 30% reduction in PTH, or the percentage achieving a PTH<300 pg/ml.

Vitamin D Therapy and Cardiac Structure and Function in Patients With Chronic Kidney DiseaseThe PRIMO Randomized Controlled Trial

- Multinational, double-blind, randomized placebo controlled trial among 227 patients with chronic kidney disease, mild to moderate left ventricular hypertrophy, and preserved left ventricular ejection fraction, conducted in 11 countries from July 2008 through September 2010
- Change in left ventricular mass index over 48 weeks by cardiovascular magnetic resonance imaging.
- At 48 weeks, the change in left ventricular mass index did not differ between treatment groups (paricalcitol group, 0.34 g/m2.7 [95% CI, -0.14 to 0.83 g/m2.7] vs placebo group, -0.07 g/m2.7 [95% CI, -0.55 to 0.42 g/m2.7]).
- Doppler measures of diastolic function including peak early diastolic lateral mitral annular tissue velocity (paricalcitol group, -0.01 cm/s [95% CI, -0.63 to 0.60 cm/s] vs placebo group, -0.30 cm/s [95% CI, -0.93 to 0.34 cm/s]) also did not differ

Effect of Paricalcitol on Left Ventricular Mass and Function in CKD—The OPERA Trial

- Subjects with echocardiographic criteria of LV hypertrophy were randomly assigned to receive either oral paricalcitol (1 μg) one time daily (n=30) or matching placebo (n=30) for 52 weeks. (60 patients)
- The primary end point was change in LV mass index over 52 weeks, which was measured by cardiac magnetic resonance imaging. Secondary end points included changes in LV volume, echocardiographic measures of systolic and diastolic function, biochemical parameters of mineral bone disease, and measures of renal function.
- Change in LV mass index did not differ significantly between groups (median [interquartile range], -2.59 [-6.13 to 0.32] g/m² with paricalcitol versus -4.85 [-9.89 to 1.10] g/m² with placebo).
- The primary analysis did not change and remained insignificant (P=0.90), even after adjustment was made for RAS blockers use and baseline heart failure.

iPTH and ALP decreased over 52-week of paricalcitol treatment but increased with placebo (A).



Angela Yee-Moon Wang et al. JASN 2014;25:175-186



Effect of active vitamin D on cardiovascular outcomes in predialysis chronic kidney diseases: A systematic review and meta-analysis

- Seven RCTs (five studies with paricalcitol and two studies with calcitriol, 731 patients) were included.
- Compared with control groups, active vitamin D reduced the incidence of cardiovascular events (RR, 0.27; 95% CI, 0.13–0.59), induced an increase in those with proteinuria reduction (RR, 1.9; 95% CI, 1.34–2.71), but did not alter left ventricular mass index and systolic function (MD, 0.42 g/m^{2.7}; 95% CI, -0.23–1.07 g/m^{2.7}, P = 0.21 for left ventricular mass index and MD, -0.33; 95% CI, -0.74–0.07, P = 0.1 for left ventricular ejection fraction).
- Neither systolic blood pressure nor diastolic blood pressure was reduced by active vitamin D (MD, 0.3 mmHg; 95% Cl, -4.95– 5.56 mmHg; MD, -0.24 mmHg; 95% Cl: -6.21–5.72 mmHg, respectively).
- Increased probability of hypercalcaemia after paricalcitol therapy was found (RR, 7.85; 95% CI, 2.92–21.10).

Parathyroidectomy in the Management of Secondary Hyperparathyroidism

- Patterns of parathyroid hyperplasia in secondary hyperparathyroidism are classified into four categories:
 - Diffuse hyperplasia
 - Early nodularity in diffuse hyperplasia
 - Nodular hyperplasia
 - Single nodular gland

Pathophysiology of secondary hyperparathyroidism in CKD. Circulating fibroblast growth factor-23 increases early in CKD and suppresses 1α-hydroxylase in the kidney, leading to deficiency of active vitamin D [1α,25(OH)2D].



Pharmacologic Therapies for Hyperparathyroidism and Their Limitations

- Vitamin D Therapy
 - Narrow therapeutic window(hypercalcemia and hyperphosphatemia may promote vascular calcification)
 - Resistance to vitamin D analogs in patients in whom severe secondary hyperparathyroidism has progressed to nodular hyperplasia with decreased vitamin D receptor expression

Pharmacologic Therapies for Hyperparathyroidism and Their Limitations

Calcimimetics

- Whether calcimimetics affect mortality, major cardiovascular events, or fracture rate remains controversial.
- Cost-effectiveness is another consideration

Phosphorus Binders

- Are not approved for use in the predialysis population
- use of calcium-containing salts in patients on dialysis increases the risk of hypercalcemia and vascular calcification
- Calcium-free binders, such as sevelamer and lanthanum carbonate, as well as iron-based binders may be associated with less arterial calcification, but the effect on survival is unclear

Combination Therapy

- Both agents (Vit D or calcimimetics) must be used early in the disease when the vitamin D and calcium-sensing receptors are intact.
- There is evidence from clinical trials that more patients were able to achieve PTH targets when cinacalcet was added onto standard therapy with active vitamin D agents and phosphorus binders, and the combination therapy allowed for downtitration of vitamin D doses
- Combination therapy may also avoid blood calcium derangements, because vitamin D therapy can lead to hypercalcemia, whereas calcimimetics can induce hypocalcemia.

Indication of Parathyroidectomy

- Persistently elevated PTH values >800 pg/ml (>6 months) that remain nonresponsive to pharmacologic therapy, including maximally tolerated doses of vitamin D analogs and calcimimetics, are generally accepted as a criterion for parathyroidectomy
- Especially if nodular hyperplasia is confirmed on imaging.
- Hyperplastic parathyroid gland volume >500 mm³ or glands >1 cm in long diameter strongly suggest nodular transformation, which is often refractory to medical therapy

Indication of Parathyroidectomy

- There is no definitive lower PTH threshold
- Parathyroidectomy in patients on dialysis is reasonable when levels are in the 600- to 800-pg/ml range if there is:
 - (1) persistent hypercalcemia or hyperphosphatemia (corrected serum calcium >10.2 mg/dl [>2.5 mmol/L] or phosphorus >5.5 mg/dl [>1.8 mmol/L]) despite patient compliance to diet and optimized vitamin D analog/calcimimetic doses
 - (2) elevated risk or presence of calciphylaxis
 - (3) erythropoietin-resistant anemia when other modifiable factors, such as iron deficiency or gastrointestinal bleeding, have been ruled out

Perioperative considerations for parathyroidectomy in patients on dialysis.



CJASN

Wei Ling Lau et al. CJASN 2018;13:952-961

Long-term mortality after parathyroidectomy among chronic kidney disease patients with secondary hyperparathyroidism: a systematic review and meta-analysis



Long-term mortality after parathyroidectomy among chronic kidney disease patients with secondary hyperparathyroidism: a systematic review and meta-analysis

 A recent meta-analysis by Chen et al. extracted data from 13 cohort studies involving 22,053 patients, of whom approximately 10,000 underwent parathyroidectomy, where parathyroidectomy was associated with an overall 28% reduction in all-cause mortality and a 37% reduction in cardiovascular mortality.

Cumulative incidence of hip fracture in parathyroidectomy (PTX) and matched control groups.



Number at risk

Kyle D. Rudser et al. JASN 2007;18:2401-2407

